UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,861	09/30/2005	Charles Roland Wolf	9052-229	6068	
	7590 09/01/200 L SIBLEY & SAJOVE	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 37428	}	HIRIYANNA, KELAGINAMANE T			
RALEIGH, NC	2/02/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/551,861	WOLF ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KELAGINAMANE T. HIRIYANNA	1633	

	HIRIYANNA		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was a property of the company.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the proposed con	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow); tter form for appeal by materially rec	E below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	:		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-28.34 and 37.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented.  Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Robert M Kelly/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1633	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants arguments regarding the rejection of claims 1-28, 34 and 37 in the response 03/08/2009 do not over come the rejections as indicated. Hence the Claims 1-28, 34 and 37 stand rejected for the reasons of record as set forth in the office action mailed on 05/06/2009.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Applicant argues that the Vogelstein reference does not teach secretion of a metabolic product of xenograft metabolism in the urine of the xenograft transplanted animal and argues hCG reporter secreted in the urine is not a metabolisc product of the xenograft metabolism. The Applicants arguments are however, found not persuasive because the Vogelstein reference clearly teaches that there was tight correlation between the urine and serum concentration of hCG reporter secretion, and was reproducible (entire article; col.9, lines 14-35). Vogelstein further clearly teaches normalizing the reporter hCG secretion to another metabolic product namely creatine in the urine of the tumor xenograft transplanted mouse (col.9, lines7-12). Thus the vogelstein reference clearly addresses all the elements of the instant invention. Hence the rejection is maintained.